

POLYHEDRAL REALIZATION OF CRYSTAL BASES FOR GENERALIZED KAC-MOODY ALGEBRAS

DONG-UY SHIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give polyhedral realization of the crystal $B(\infty)$ of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ for the generalized Kac-Moody algebras. As applications, we give explicit descriptions of crystals for the generalized Kac-Moody algebras of rank 2, 3 and *Monster Lie algebras*.

INTRODUCTION

In his study of Conway and Norton's *Moonshine Conjecture* [3] for the infinite dimensional $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded representation $V^\natural$ of the Monster sporadic simple group, Borcherds introduced a new class of infinite dimensional Lie algebras called the *generalized Kac-Moody algebras* [1, 2]. The structure and representation theories of generalized Kac-Moody algebras are very similar to those of Kac-Moody algebras, and a lot of facts about Kac-Moody algebras can be extended to generalized Kac-Moody algebras. The main difference is that the generalized Kac-Moody algebras may have simple roots with non-positive norms whose multiplicity can be greater than one, called *imaginary simple roots*, and they may have infinitely many simple roots.

The *quantum groups* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ introduced by Drinfel'd and Jimbo, independently are q -deformations of the universal enveloping algebras $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ [4, 7]. The important feature of quantum groups is that the representation theory of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the same as that of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Therefore, to understand the structure of representations over $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, it is enough to understand that of representations over $U(\mathfrak{g})$ for some special parameter q which is easy to treat. The *crystal basis theory* which can be viewed as the representation theory at $q = 0$ was introduced by Kashiwara [14]. Among others, he

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 81R50, Secondary 17B37.

Key words and phrases. crystal basis, generalized Kac-Moody algebras, Monster algebras.

showed that there exist a crystal basis $B(\infty)$ for the negative part of a quantum group and a crystal basis $B(\lambda)$ for the irreducible highest weight module $V(\lambda)$ with a dominant integral highest weight λ . Crystal bases are given a structure of colored oriented graphs, called the *crystal graphs*, which reflect the combinatorial structure of integrable modules. So one of the most fundamental problems in the crystal basis theory is to construct the crystal basis explicitly. In many articles, one can find several kinds of realizations of crystal bases using combinatorial objects (for example, [9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19]).

In [8], Kang introduced the *quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ – the quantum groups associated with generalized Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}$, and he also showed that, for a generic q , the Verma modules and the unitarizable highest weight modules over $\mathfrak{g}$ can be deformed to those over $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. In [5], Jeong, Kang and Kashiwara developed the crystal basis theory for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras. As in the Kac-Moody algebra case, they showed that there exist a crystal basis $B(\infty)$ for the negative part of a quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebra and a crystal basis $B(\lambda)$ for the irreducible highest weight module $V(\lambda)$ with a dominant integral highest weight λ . However, unfortunately, there is no explicit realization of crystal bases over quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras using some combinatorial objects.

Recently, in [6], Jeong, Kang, Kashiwara and the author introduced the notion of *abstract crystals* for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras, and the embedding of crystals $\Psi_\iota : B(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty$ where ι is an infinite sequence from the index set of simple roots. This embedding Ψ_ι of crystals is an analogue of the crystal embedding (in Kac-Moody case) introduced by Kashiwara [15]. But, as in the Kac-Moody case, in general, it is not easy to find the image $\text{Im} \Psi_\iota$. In this paper, we give explicit description of $\text{Im} \Psi_\iota$ by a unified method introduced by Nakashima and Zelevinsky [19], called the *polyhedral realization*. The main obstacle to apply Nakashima and Zelevinsky's method to the quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras was quite different tensor product rule of Kashiwara operators of crystal bases.

As applications, we give explicit descriptions of the crystals over Kac-Moody algebras of rank 2 and 3. Finally, for the *Monster Lie algebra* which played an important role in proving the Moonshine conjecture, we give the explicit description of $\text{Im} \Psi_\iota$. Since the root multiplicity of Monster Lie algebra is

closely related with the i -th coefficient $c(i)$ of the elliptic modular function $j(q) - 744$, we expect that we can obtain some properties about $c(i)$'s.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor S.-J. Kang and Professor J.-A. Kim for their interest in this work and many valuable discussions.

1. CRYSTAL BASES FOR QUANTUM GENERALIZED KAC-MOODY ALGEBRAS

1.1. Quantum Generalized Kac-Moody Algebras. Let I be a countable index set. A real matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ is called a *Borcherds-Cartan matrix* if it satisfies: (i) $a_{ii} = 2$ or $a_{ii} \leq 0$ for all $i \in I$, (ii) $a_{ij} \leq 0$ if $i \neq j$, (iii) $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$ if $a_{ii} = 2$, (iv) $a_{ij} = 0$ if and only if $a_{ji} = 0$. Let $I^{re} = \{i \in I \mid a_{ii} = 2\}$ and $I^{im} = \{i \in I \mid a_{ii} \leq 0\}$. Moreover, we say that an index i in I^{re} (resp. I^{im}) is *real* (resp. *imaginary*).

In this paper, we assume that for all $i, j \in I$, $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}$, $a_{ii} \in 2\mathbf{Z}$, and A is *symmetrizable*. That is, there is a diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(s_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0} \mid i \in I)$ such that DA is symmetric. We set a Borcherds-Cartan datum $(A, P^\vee, P, \Pi^\vee, \Pi)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A &: \text{ a Borcherds-Cartan matrix,} \\ P^\vee &= \left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{Z} h_i \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{Z} d_i \right) : \text{ a free abelian group,} \\ P &= \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \lambda(P^\vee) \subset \mathbf{Z} \} : \text{ the weight lattice,} \\ \Pi^\vee &= \{ h_i \mid i \in I \} \subset \mathfrak{h} : \text{ the set of simple coroots,} \\ \Pi &= \{ \alpha_i \mid i \in I \} \subset \mathfrak{h}^* : \text{ the set of simple roots.} \end{aligned}$$

Here, the simple roots α_i ($i \in I$) are defined by

$$\langle h_j, \alpha_i \rangle = a_{ji} \text{ and } \langle d_j, \alpha_i \rangle = \delta_{ji}.$$

We denote by $P^+ = \{ \lambda \in P \mid \lambda(h_i) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in I \}$ the set of *dominant integral weights*. We also use the notation $Q = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{Z} \alpha_i$ and $Q_+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i$.

For an indeterminate q , set $q_i = q^{s_i}$ and define

$$[n]_i = \frac{q_i^n - q_i^{-n}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \quad [n]_i! = \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_i, \quad \left[\begin{matrix} m \\ n \end{matrix} \right]_i = \frac{[m]_i!}{[n]_i! [m-n]_i!}.$$

The *quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebra* $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a Borcherds-Cartan datum $(A, P^\vee, P, \Pi^\vee, \Pi)$ is the associative algebra over $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ with 1

generated by the elements e_i, f_i ($i \in I$) and q^h ($h \in P^\vee$) with the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
q^0 &= 1, \quad q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'} \quad \text{for } h, h' \in P^\vee, \\
q^h e_i q^{-h} &= q^{\alpha_i(h)} e_i, \quad q^h f_i q^{-h} = q^{-\alpha_i(h)} f_i \quad \text{for } h \in P^\vee, i \in I, \\
e_i f_j - f_j e_i &= \delta_{ij} \frac{q^{s_i h_i} - q^{-s_i h_i}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad \text{for } i, j \in I, \\
\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i e_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} e_j e_i^k &= 0 \quad \text{for } a_{ii} = 2, i \neq j, \\
\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i f_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} f_j f_i^k &= 0 \quad \text{for } a_{ii} = 2, i \neq j, \\
e_i e_j - e_j e_i &= f_i f_j - f_j f_i = 0 \quad \text{if } a_{ij} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$) the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the e_i 's (resp. the f_i 's).

1.2. Crystal Bases. The category $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ consists of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules M satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P} M_\mu$, where $M_\mu = \{v \in M \mid q^h v = q^{\mu(h)} v \text{ for all } h \in P^\vee\}$ is finite dimensional,
- (ii) there exist finitely many elements $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s \in P$ such that $\text{wt}(M) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^s (\lambda_j - Q_+)$, where $\text{wt}(M) = \{\mu \in P \mid M_\mu \neq 0\}$,
- (iii) if $a_{ii} = 2$, then the action of f_i on M is *locally nilpotent*, i.e., for any $m \in M$ there exists a positive integer N such that $\tilde{f}_i^N m = 0$,
- (iv) if $a_{ii} \leq 0$, then $\mu(h_i) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for every $\mu \in \text{wt}(M)$,
- (v) if $a_{ii} \leq 0$ and $\mu(h_i) = 0$, then $\tilde{f}_i M_\mu = 0$,
- (vi) if $a_{ii} \leq 0$ and $\mu(h_i) \leq -a_{ii}$, then $\tilde{e}_i M_\mu = 0$.

For instance, the *irreducible highest weight module* $V(\lambda) = U_q(\mathfrak{g})u_\lambda$ with $\lambda \in P^+$ defined by following relations

- (i) u_λ has weight λ ,
- (ii) $e_i u_\lambda = 0$ for all $i \in I$,
- (iii) $f_i^{\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle + 1} u_\lambda = 0$ for any $i \in I^{re}$,
- (iv) $f_i u_\lambda = 0$ if $i \in I^{im}$ and $\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = 0$,

belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{int}$. Moreover, the category $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ is *semisimple* and every simple object in $\mathcal{O}_{int}$ is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight module $V(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in P^+$ [5].

Fix an index $i \in I$ and for $k \geq 0$, set $f_i^{(k)} = f_i^k/[k]_i!$ if i is real, f_i^k if i is imaginary. Let M be a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module in $\mathcal{O}_{int}$. It was shown in [5] that every weight vector $v \in M_\lambda$ can be written uniquely as

$$v = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_i^{(k)} v_k,$$

where (i) $v_k \in \ker e_i \cap M_{\lambda+k\alpha_i}$, (ii) if $a_{ii} = 2$ and $\langle h_i, \lambda + n\alpha_i \rangle < n$, then $v_n = 0$, and (iii) if $a_{ii} \leq 0$, $n > 0$ and $\langle h_i, \lambda + n\alpha_i \rangle = 0$, then $v_n = 0$. This expression is called the *i-string decomposition* of v . The *Kashiwara operators* $\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$ on M are defined by

$$\tilde{e}_i v = \sum_{k \geq 1} f_i^{(k-1)} v_k, \quad \tilde{f}_i v = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_i^{(k+1)} v_k.$$

Let $\mathbf{A}_0 = \{f/g \in \mathbf{Q}(q) \mid f, g \in \mathbf{Q}[q], g(0) \neq 0\}$ be the localization of $\mathbf{Q}(q)$ at (q) . A *crystal basis* of M is a pair (L, B) such that

- (i) L is a free $\mathbf{A}_0$ -submodule of M such that $M \cong \mathbf{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_0} L$,
- (ii) B is a $\mathbf{Q}$ -basis of $L/qL \cong \mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_0} L$,
- (iii) $L = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} L_\lambda$, where $L_\lambda = L \cap M_\lambda$,
- (iv) $B = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in P} B_\lambda$, where $B_\lambda = B \cap (L_\lambda/qL_\lambda)$,
- (v) $\tilde{e}_i L \subset L$, $\tilde{f}_i L \subset L$ for all $i \in I$,
- (vi) $\tilde{e}_i B \subset B \cup \{0\}$, $\tilde{f}_i B \subset B \cup \{0\}$ for all $i \in I$,
- (vii) for all $b, b' \in B$ and $i \in I$, $\tilde{f}_i b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_i b'$.

It was proved in [5] that every $M \in \mathcal{O}_{int}$ has a crystal basis unique up to an automorphism. For $\lambda \in P^+$, there is a unique crystal basis $(L(\lambda), B(\lambda))$ of $V(\lambda)$, where

$$L(\lambda) = \mathbf{A}_0\text{-span} \{\tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} v_\lambda \mid i_k \in I, r \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\},$$

$$B(\lambda) = \{\tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} v_\lambda + qL(\lambda) \in L(\lambda)/qL(\lambda)\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Fix $i \in I$. For any $P \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, there are $Q, R \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$e_i P - P e_i = \frac{q^{s_i h_i} Q - q^{-s_i h_i} R}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}.$$

We define the endomorphisms $e'_i, e''_i : U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$e'_i(P) = R, \quad e''_i(P) = Q.$$

Then every $u \in U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ can be written uniquely as

$$u = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_i^{(k)} u_k,$$

where $e'_i u_k = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$ and $u_k = 0$ for $k \gg 0$. Moreover, we have $u_k = q_i^{a_{ii}k(k-1)/4} P_i e_i^{(k)} u$, which is called the *i-string decomposition* of u [5]. The Kashiwara operators $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i$ on $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ are defined by

$$\tilde{e}_i u = \sum_{k \geq 1} f_i^{(k-1)} u_k, \quad \tilde{f}_i u = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_i^{(k+1)} u_k.$$

The crystal basis of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ is a pair (L, B) such that

- (i) L is a free $\mathbf{A}_0$ -submodule of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathbf{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_0} L$,
- (ii) B is a $\mathbf{Q}$ -basis of $L/qL \cong \mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_0} L$,
- (iii) $\tilde{e}_i L \subset L, \tilde{f}_i L \subset L$ for all $i \in I$,
- (iv) $\tilde{e}_i B \subset B \cup \{0\}, \tilde{f}_i B \subset B \cup \{0\}$ for all $i \in I$,
- (v) for all $b, b' \in B$ and $i \in I$, $\tilde{f}_i b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_i b'$.

It was proved in [5] that there is a unique crystal basis $(L(\infty), B(\infty))$ of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} L(\infty) &= \mathbf{A}_0\text{-span} \{ \tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} \cdot 1 \mid i_k \in I, r \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \}, \\ B(\infty) &= \{ \tilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_r} \cdot 1 + qL(\infty) \in L(\infty)/qL(\infty) \} \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

2. ABSTRACT CRYSTALS

In this section, we recall the notion of abstract crystals and their examples introduced in [6]. Moreover, we introduce a crystal $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty$ associated with an infinite sequence ι .

2.1. Abstract Crystals. An *abstract crystal* for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ or a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -*crystal* is a set B together with the maps $\text{wt} : B \rightarrow P$, $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : B \rightarrow B \cup \{0\}$ ($i \in I$), and $\varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : B \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ ($i \in I$) such that for all $b \in B$, we have

- (i) $\text{wt}(\tilde{e}_i b) = \text{wt } b + \alpha_i$ if $i \in I$ and $\tilde{e}_i b \neq 0$,
- (ii) $\text{wt}(\tilde{f}_i b) = \text{wt } b - \alpha_i$ if $i \in I$ and $\tilde{f}_i b \neq 0$,
- (iii) for any $i \in I$ and $b \in B$, $\varphi_i(b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + \langle h_i, \text{wt } b \rangle$,

- (iv) for any $i \in I$ and $b, b' \in B$, $\tilde{f}_i b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_i b'$,
- (v) for any $i \in I$ and $b \in B$ such that $\tilde{e}_i b \neq 0$, we have
 - (a) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) - 1$, $\varphi_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) + 1$ if $i \in I^{re}$,
 - (b) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b)$ and $\varphi_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) + a_{ii}$ if $i \in I^{im}$,
- (vi) for any $i \in I$ and $b \in B$ such that $\tilde{f}_i b \neq 0$, we have
 - (a) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + 1$ and $\varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) - 1$ if $i \in I^{re}$,
 - (b) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b)$ and $\varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) - a_{ii}$ if $i \in I^{im}$,
- (vii) for any $i \in I$ and $b \in B$ such that $\varphi_i(b) = -\infty$, we have $\tilde{e}_i b = \tilde{f}_i b = 0$.

Let B_1 and B_2 be crystals. A *morphism of crystals* or a *crystal morphism* $\psi : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ is a map $\psi : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ such that

- (i) $\text{wt}(\psi(b)) = \text{wt}(b)$ for all $b \in B_1$,
- (ii) $\varepsilon_i(\psi(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b)$, $\varphi_i(\psi(b)) = \varphi_i(b)$ for all $b \in B_1$, $i \in I$,
- (iii) if $b \in B_1$ and $i \in I$ satisfy $\tilde{f}_i b \in B_1$, then we have $\psi(\tilde{f}_i b) = \tilde{f}_i \psi(b)$.

For a morphism of crystals $\psi : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$, ψ is called a *strict morphism* if

$$\psi(\tilde{e}_i b) = \tilde{e}_i \psi(b), \quad \psi(\tilde{f}_i b) = \tilde{f}_i \psi(b) \quad \text{for all } i \in I \text{ and } b \in B_1.$$

Here we understand $\psi(0) = 0$. Moreover, ψ is called an *embedding* if the underlying map $\psi : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ is injective. In this case, we say that B_1 is a *subcrystal* of B_2 . If ψ is a strict embedding, we say that B_1 is a *full subcrystal* of B_2 .

Example 2.1. (a) The crystal basis $B(\lambda)$ of the irreducible highest weight module $V(\lambda)$ is an abstract crystal, where the maps ε_i, φ_i ($i \in I$) are given by

$$\varepsilon_i(b) = \max\{k \geq 0 \mid \tilde{e}_i^k b \neq 0\}, \quad \varphi_i(b) = \max\{k \geq 0 \mid \tilde{f}_i^k b \neq 0\} \quad \text{for } i \in I^{re},$$

$$\varepsilon_i(b) = 0, \quad \varphi_i(b) = \langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle \quad \text{for } i \in I^{im}.$$

(b) The crystal basis $B(\infty)$ of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ is an abstract crystal, where the maps ε_i, φ_i ($i \in I$) are given by

$$\varepsilon_i(b) = \begin{cases} \max\{k \geq 0 \mid \tilde{e}_i^k b \neq 0\} & \text{for } i \in I^{re}, \\ 0 & \text{for } i \in I^{im}, \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_i(b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + \langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle \quad \text{for } i \in I.$$

Example 2.2. For $i \in I$, let $B_i = \{b_i(-n) \mid n \geq 0\}$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(b_i(-n)) &= -n\alpha_i, \\ \tilde{e}_i b_i(-n) &= b_i(-n+1), \quad \tilde{f}_i b_i(-n) = b_i(-n-1), \\ \tilde{e}_j b_i(-n) &= \tilde{f}_j b_i(-n) = 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq i, \\ \varepsilon_i(b_i(-n)) &= n, \quad \varphi_i(b_i(-n)) = -n \quad \text{if } i \in I^{re}, \\ \varepsilon_i(b_i(-n)) &= 0, \quad \varphi_i(b_i(-n)) = \langle h_i, \text{wt}(b_i(-n)) \rangle = -na_{ii} \quad \text{if } i \in I^{im}, \\ \varepsilon_j(b_i(-n)) &= \varphi_j(b_i(-n)) = -\infty \quad \text{if } j \neq i. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we understand $b_i(-n) = 0$ for $n < 0$. Then B_i is an abstract crystal, and it is called an *elementary crystal* [6].

We define the tensor product of a pair of crystals as follows: for two crystals B_1 and B_2 , their tensor product $B_1 \otimes B_2$ is $\{b_1 \otimes b_2 \mid b_1 \in B_1, b_2 \in B_2\}$ with the following crystal structure. The maps $\text{wt}, \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(b \otimes b') &= \text{wt}(b) + \text{wt}(b'), \\ \varepsilon_i(b \otimes b') &= \max(\varepsilon_i(b), \varepsilon_i(b') - \langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle), \\ \varphi_i(b \otimes b') &= \max(\varphi_i(b) + \langle h_i, \text{wt}(b') \rangle, \varphi_i(b')). \end{aligned}$$

For $i \in I$, we define

$$\tilde{f}_i(b \otimes b') = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_i b \otimes b' & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) > \varepsilon_i(b'), \\ b \otimes \tilde{f}_i b' & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) \leq \varepsilon_i(b'). \end{cases}$$

For $i \in I^{re}$, we define

$$\tilde{e}_i(b \otimes b') = \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i b \otimes b' & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) \geq \varepsilon_i(b'), \\ b \otimes \tilde{e}_i b' & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) < \varepsilon_i(b'), \end{cases}$$

and, for $i \in I^{im}$, we define

$$\tilde{e}_i(b \otimes b') = \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i b \otimes b' & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) > \varepsilon_i(b') - a_{ii}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon_i(b') < \varphi_i(b) \leq \varepsilon_i(b') - a_{ii}, \\ b \otimes \tilde{e}_i b' & \text{if } \varphi_i(b) \leq \varepsilon_i(b'). \end{cases}$$

This tensor product rule is different from the one given in [5]. But when $B_1 = B(\lambda)$ and $B_2 = B(\mu)$ for $\lambda, \mu \in P^+$, the two rules coincide. Note that

by the definition above, $B_1 \otimes B_2$ is a crystal. Moreover, it is easy to see that the associativity law for the tensor product holds [6].

2.2. Crystal structure of $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty$. Let $\iota = (\dots, i_k, \dots, i_1)$ be an infinite sequence such that

$$(2.1) \quad i_k \neq i_{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \#\{k \mid i_k = i\} = \infty \quad \text{for any } i \in I.$$

Now, we give a crystal structure $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty$ on the set of infinite sequences of non-negative integers

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty := \{(\dots, x_k, \dots, x_1) \mid x_k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ and } x_k = 0 \text{ for } k \gg 0\}$$

associated with ι as follows: Let $\vec{x} = (\dots, x_k, \dots, x_1)$ be an element of $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty$. For $k \geq 1$, we define

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma_k(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} x_k + \sum_{j>k} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j & \text{if } i_k \in I^{re}, \\ \sum_{j>k} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j & \text{if } i_k \in I^{im}. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x}) &= \max_{k: i_k = i} \{\sigma_k(\vec{x})\}, \\ n_f &= \min\{k \mid i_k = i, \sigma_k(\vec{x}) = \sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x})\}, \\ n_e &= \begin{cases} \max\{k \mid i_k = i, \sigma_k(\vec{x}) = \sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x})\} & \text{if } i \in I^{re}, \\ n_f & \text{if } i \in I^{im}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we define

$$\tilde{f}_i \vec{x} = (x_k + \delta_{k, n_f})_{k \geq 1},$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{e}_i \vec{x} = \begin{cases} (x_k - \delta_{k, n_e})_{k \geq 1} & \text{if } \vec{x} \text{ satisfies the condition (EC),} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where, the condition **(EC)** is as follows:

- (EC)** (i) $i \in I^{re} : \sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x}) > 0$,
 (ii) $i \in I^{im} : \text{for } k = n_e \text{ with } k^{(-)} \neq 0$,

$$x_k > 1, \text{ or } x_k = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{k^{(-)} < j < k} \langle h_i, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j < 0.$$

Here, $k^{(-)}$ is the maximal index $j < k$ such that $i_j = i_k$. We also define

$$\text{wt}(\vec{x}) = - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \alpha_{i_j}, \quad \varepsilon_i(\vec{x}) = \sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x}), \quad \varphi_i(\vec{x}) = \langle h_i, \text{wt}(\vec{x}) \rangle + \varepsilon_i(\vec{x}).$$

It is easy to see that $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\infty}$ is a crystal. We denote this crystal by $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^{\infty}$.

Remark 2.3. Since $x_k = 0$ for $k \gg 0$, it is clear that $\varepsilon_i(\vec{x}) = 0$ for each $i \in I^{im}$, and so $\varphi_i(\vec{x}) = \langle h_i, \text{wt}(\vec{x}) \rangle$.

2.3. Embedding of Crystals.

Proposition 2.4. [6] *For all $i \in I$, there exists a unique strict embedding*

$$\Psi_i : B(\infty) \longrightarrow B(\infty) \otimes B_i \quad \text{such that} \quad u_{\infty} \mapsto u_{\infty} \otimes b_i(0),$$

where u_{∞} is the highest weight vector in $B(\infty)$.

The Proposition 2.4 yields a procedure to determine the structure of the crystal $B(\infty)$ in terms of elementary crystals. Take an infinite sequence $\iota = (\dots, i_2, i_1)$ in I such that every $i \in I$ appears infinitely many times. For each $N \geq 1$, taking the composition of crystal embeddings repeatedly, we obtain a strict crystal embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^{(N)} &:= (\Psi_{i_N} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id}) \circ \dots \circ (\Psi_{i_2} \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Psi_{i_1} : \\ (2.4) \quad B(\infty) &\hookrightarrow B(\infty) \otimes B_{i_1} \hookrightarrow B(\infty) \otimes B_{i_2} \otimes B_{i_1} \hookrightarrow \\ &\dots \hookrightarrow B(\infty) \otimes B_{i_N} \otimes \dots \otimes B_{i_1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that, for any $b \in B$, there exists $N > 0$ such that

$$\Psi^{(N)}(b) = u_{\infty} \otimes b_{i_N}(-x_N) \otimes \dots \otimes b_{i_1}(-x_1)$$

for some $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $x_k = 0$ for $k > N$. Thus the sequence $(\dots, 0, x_N, \dots, x_1)$ belongs to $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^{\infty}$, and so we obtain a map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\iota} : B(\infty) &\rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^{\infty} \\ b &\mapsto (\dots, 0, x_N, \dots, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

We can easily see that it is a strict embedding (See also [6]).

3. POLYHEDRAL REALIZATIONS OF $B(\infty)$

In [19], Nakashima and Zelevinsky gave a polyhedral realization of the crystal base $B(\infty)$ of the negative part $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with Kac-Moody algebra. In this section, we extend their theory to the case of quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras.

3.1. Polyhedral realizations of $B(\infty)$. Let $\iota = (i_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence of indices satisfying (2.1). Let $\mathbf{Q}^\infty$ be an infinite dimensional vector space

$$\mathbf{Q}^\infty = \{ \vec{x} = (\dots, x_k, \dots, x_1) \mid x_k \in \mathbf{Q} \text{ and } x_k = 0 \text{ for } k \gg 0 \}.$$

For a linear functional $\psi \in (\mathbf{Q}^\infty)^*$, we write $\psi(\vec{x}) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \psi_k x_k$ ($\psi_k \in \mathbf{Q}$). For each $k \geq 1$, we denote by $k^{(+)}$ (resp. $k^{(-)}$) the minimal (resp. maximal) index $j > k$ (resp. $j < k$) such that $i_j = i_k$. Let $\beta_k \in (\mathbf{Q}^\infty)^*$ be a linear form

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_k(\vec{x}) &= \sigma_k(\vec{x}) - \sigma_{k^{(+)}}(\vec{x}) \\ &= \begin{cases} x_k + \sum_{k < j < k^{(+)}} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j + x_{k^{(+)}} & \text{if } i_k \in I^{re}, \\ \sum_{k < j \leq k^{(+)}} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j & \text{if } i_k \in I^{im}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and we set $\beta_0(\vec{x}) = 0$. Then, we define a piecewise-linear operator $S_k = S_{k, \iota}$ on $(\mathbf{Q}^\infty)^*$ by

$$S_k(\psi) = \begin{cases} \psi - \psi_k \beta_k & \text{if } \psi_k > 0, i_k \in I^{re}, \\ \psi - \psi_k (x_k + \sum_{k < j < k^{(+)}} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j - x_{k^{(+)}}) & \text{if } \psi_k > 0, i_k \in I^{im}, \\ \psi - \psi_k \beta_{k^{(-)}} & \text{if } \psi_k \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\Theta_\iota = \{ S_{j_l} \cdots S_{j_1} x_{j_0} \mid l \geq 0, j_0, \dots, j_l \geq 1 \}$$

be the set of linear forms obtained from the coordinate forms x_j by applying transformations S_k . Moreover, for a given $s, t \geq 1$ ($t > s$), let $\Theta_\iota^{s \setminus t}$ be the subset of Θ_ι of linear forms obtained from the coordinate forms x_s by applying transformations S_k with $k \neq t$, i.e.,

$$\Theta_\iota^{s \setminus t} = \{ S_{j_l} \cdots S_{j_1} x_s \mid l \geq 0, s, j_1, \dots, j_l \geq 1 \},$$

where none of $j_1, \dots, j_l$ is t . We impose on ι the positivity assumption given in [19]. That is,

$$(3.2) \quad \text{if } k^{(-)} = 0, \text{ then } \psi_k \geq 0 \text{ for any } \psi = \sum \psi_j x_j \in \Theta_\iota.$$

Then we have the following main theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let ι be a sequence of indices satisfying (2.1) and (3.2). Let $\Psi_\iota : B(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty$ be the crystal embedding. Then $\text{Im}\Psi_\iota$ is the set Γ_ι of $\vec{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $\psi(\vec{x}) \geq 0$ for any $\psi \in \Theta_\iota$,
- (ii) for each t with $i_t \in I^{im}$, if $x_t \neq 0$ and $t^{(-)} \neq 0$, then

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{t^{(-)} < j < t} \langle h_{i_t}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j < 0.$$

In addition, if $\langle h_{i_t}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j = 0$ ($t^{(-)} < j < t$) for all j with $i_j \in I^{im}$, there exists an integer p ($t^{(-)} < p < t$) such that $i_p \in I^{re}$,

$$(3.4) \quad \langle h_{i_t}, \alpha_{i_p} \rangle x_p < 0 \text{ and } \psi(\vec{x}) > 0 \text{ for any } \psi \in \Theta_\iota^{p \setminus t}.$$

Proof. We prove it in Subsection 3.2 later. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *Assume that all elements of I are imaginary, that is, $I = I^{im}$. Then the image of the crystal embedding $\text{Im}\Psi_\iota$ equals to the set of $\vec{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty$ satisfying (3.3) of Theorem 3.1.*

Proof. By the simple calculation, it is easy to see that the set Θ_ι consists of the linear combinations of the coordinate forms x_j with nonnegative coefficients, which completes the proof. $\square$

Now, we consider the case that the cardinality of I^{re} is 1. Then it is easy to see that $S_j x_j$ is a linear combinations of x_k 's with nonnegative coefficients except for $i_j \in I^{re}$. If $i_j \in I^{re}$, then

$$S_j x_j = - \sum_{j < t < j^{(+)}} \langle h_j, \alpha_{i_t} \rangle x_t - x_{j^{(+)}} ,$$

and

- (i) if $k = j^{(+)}$, then $S_k S_j x_j$ is x_j ,
- (ii) if $j < k < j^{(+)}$ and $\langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle < 0$, then $S_k S_j x_j$ is a linear combination of x_t 's of nonnegative coefficients,
- (iii) if k does not belong to the cases (i) and (ii), then $S_k S_j x_j$ is $S_j x_j$ itself.

Therefore, it is easy to see that the condition (i) of Theorem 3.1 is changed to

$$(3.5) \quad S_j x_j \geq 0 \text{ for all } j \text{ with } i_j \in I^{re}.$$

Moreover, for given p, t in (ii) of Theorem 3.1, since $\psi_t > 0$ for any $\psi \in \Theta_t^{p \setminus t}$, the above (i)-(iii) implies that the condition $S_p x_p > 0$ is the same as the condition that $\psi(\vec{x}) > 0$ for all $\psi \in \Theta_t^{p \setminus t}$. Finally, by the above (i)-(iii), it is clear that any sequence ι satisfies the positivity assumption (3.2). Therefore, we have the following simple and important corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let I be an index set such that the cardinality of I^{re} is 1, and let ι be a sequence of indices in I satisfying (2.1). Then the image $\text{Im}\Psi_\iota$ of the crystal embedding is the set Γ_ι of $\vec{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $S_j x_j \geq 0$ for all j with $i_j \in I^{re}$,
- (ii) for each t with $i_t \in I^{im}$, if $x_t \neq 0$ and $t^{(-)} \neq 0$, then

$$\sum_{t^{(-)} < j < t} \langle h_{i_t}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j < 0.$$

In addition, if $\langle h_{i_t}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j = 0$ ($t^{(-)} < j < t$) for all $i_j \in I^{im}$, there exists an integer p ($t^{(-)} < p < t$) such that $i_p \in I^{re}$,

$$\langle h_{i_t}, \alpha_{i_p} \rangle x_p < 0 \text{ and } S_p x_p > 0.$$

Example 3.4. Assume that $I = \{1, 2\}$ and $\iota = (\dots, 2, 1, 2, 1)$. Set

$$\alpha_1(h_1) = -a, \quad \alpha_1(h_2) = -c, \quad \alpha_2(h_1) = -b \text{ and } \alpha_2(h_2) = 2$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then $I^{re} = \{2\}$, $I^{im} = \{1\}$, and if $k \geq 3$, $k^{(-)} \neq 0$. Therefore, for each $k \geq 1$, if $x_{2k+1} \neq 0$, we have

$$\sum_{2k-1 < j < 2k+1} \langle h_1, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j = -bx_{2k} < 0.$$

Moreover, since $x_{2k} > 0$ and $i_{2k} = 2 \in I^{re}$, we have $S_{2k} x_{2k} = x_{2k} - \beta_{2k} = cx_{2k+1} - x_{2k+2} > 0$. Therefore, by Corollary 3.3 the image of the crystal embedding $\text{Im}\Psi_\iota$ is given by the subset Γ_ι of $\vec{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty$ as follows:

- (a) When $b = c = 0$,

$$x_k = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 3.$$

- (b) When neither b nor c is 0,

- (i) for each $k \geq 1$, $cx_{2k+1} - x_{2k+2} > 0$ unless $x_{2k+1} = x_{2k+2} = 0$,
- (ii) for each $k \geq 1$, if $x_{2k+1} \neq 0$, then $x_{2k} > 0$.

3.2. The proof of Theorem 3.1. We know that $\text{Im}\Psi_\iota$ is a subcrystal of $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty$ obtained by applying the Kashiwara operators $\tilde{f}_i$ to $\Psi_\iota(u_\infty) = \vec{0} = (\dots, 0, 0, 0)$ and $\vec{0}$ belongs to Γ_ι . So, in order to prove that $\text{Im}\Psi_\iota \subset \Gamma_\iota$, it suffices to show that Γ_ι is closed under all $\tilde{f}_i$. Let $\vec{x} \in \Gamma_\iota$ and $i \in I$. Suppose that $\tilde{f}_i \vec{x} = (\dots, x_k + 1, \dots, x_1)$. Since

$$\psi(\tilde{f}_i \vec{x}) = \psi(\vec{x}) + \psi_k \geq \psi_k \quad \text{for any } \psi \in \Theta_\iota,$$

in order to prove (i), it is enough to consider the case when $\psi_k < 0$. By the positivity condition (3.2) of ι , we have $k^{(-)} \geq 1$. By (2.2), we have $\sigma_k(\vec{x}) > \sigma_{k^{(-)}}(\vec{x})$ (Indeed, when $i_k \in I^{im}$, $\sigma_k(\vec{x}) = 0$ and $\sigma_{k^{(-)}}(\vec{x}) < 0$), and so

$$\beta_{k^{(-)}}(\vec{x}) = \sigma_{k^{(-)}}(\vec{x}) - \sigma_k(\vec{x}) \leq -1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\tilde{f}_i \vec{x}) &= \psi(\vec{x}) + \psi_k \\ (3.6) \quad &\geq \psi(\vec{x}) - \psi_k \beta_{k^{(-)}}(\vec{x}) \\ &= (S_k \psi)(\vec{x}) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that $\tilde{f}_i \vec{x}$ does not satisfy the condition (3.3). Then $k = t$, and

$$x_t = 0, \quad \sum_{t^{(-)} < j < t} \langle h_{i_t}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j = 0 \quad \text{in } \vec{x}.$$

But, it can not occur by the definition of Kashiwara operator $\tilde{f}_i$. Now, we show that $\tilde{f}_i \vec{x}$ satisfies the condition (3.4). First, suppose that there exist p and t satisfying (3.4) in $\vec{x}$. Since $\psi(\tilde{f}_i \vec{x}) = \psi(\vec{x}) + \psi_k$, it is enough to consider the cases that $\psi_k < 0$. Note that by definition of the set $\Theta_\iota^{p \setminus t}$, $\psi_t > 0$ for all $\psi \in \Theta_\iota^{p \setminus t}$. So it suffices to the case that $k \neq t$. If $k \neq t$, then $S_k \psi \in \Theta_\iota^{p \setminus t}$ and so $\psi(\tilde{f}_i \vec{x}) = \psi(\vec{x}) + \psi_k \geq (S_k \psi)(\vec{x}) > 0$.

Second, suppose that $k = t$, $x_t = 0$, and for any j such that $t^{(-)} < j < t$, $i_j \in I^{re}$, $\langle h_{i_t}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j < 0$, there is a $\psi \in \Theta_\iota^{j \setminus t}$ such that $\psi(\vec{x}) = 0$ in $\vec{x}$. Note that since j is the index such that $\langle h_{i_t}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle < 0$, we have $\psi_t > 0$ for all $\psi \in \Theta_\iota^{j \setminus t}$. Therefore, $\psi(\tilde{f}_i \vec{x}) = \psi(\vec{x}) + \psi_t \geq \psi_t > 0$ for all $\psi \in \Theta_\iota^{j \setminus t}$. Therefore, $\text{Im}\Psi_\iota \subset \Gamma_\iota$.

For the proof of the reverse inclusion $\Gamma_\iota \subset \text{Im}\Psi_\iota$, note that for any $\vec{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$ satisfying the condition (ii), there is an $i \in I$ such that $\tilde{e}_i \vec{x} \neq 0$. Indeed, for the largest number k such that $x_k > 0$ in $\vec{x}$, if $i_k \in I^{re}$, then

$\sigma_k(\vec{x}) = x_k > 0$ and so $\sigma^{(i_k)}(\vec{x}) \geq \sigma_k(\vec{x}) > 0$, which implies $\tilde{e}_{i_k} \vec{x} \neq 0$. If $i_k \in I^{im}$, then $n_f = n_e = k$ by the condition (3.3), and so we have $\tilde{e}_{i_k} \vec{x} \neq 0$.

Since $\Gamma_\iota \subset \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty$, if Γ_ι is closed under the Kashiwara operators $\tilde{e}_i$ for all $i \in I$, then for any $\vec{x} \in \Gamma_\iota$, there are $i_1, \dots, i_t \in I$ such that

$$\tilde{e}_{i_t} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1} \vec{x} = \vec{0}.$$

Moreover, it means that

$$\tilde{f}_{i_1} \dots \tilde{f}_{i_t} \vec{0} = \vec{x},$$

which implies that $\Gamma_\iota \subset \text{Im} \Psi_i$. So it is enough to show that $\tilde{e}_i \Gamma_\iota \subset \Gamma_\iota \cup \{0\}$ for all $i \in I$. Let $\vec{x} \in \Gamma_\iota$ and $i \in I$. Suppose that $\tilde{e}_i \vec{x} = (\dots, x_k - 1, \dots, x_1)$. Since

$$\psi(\tilde{e}_i \vec{x}) = \psi(\vec{x}) - \psi_k \geq -\psi_k \quad \text{for any } \psi \in \Theta_\iota,$$

to prove (i) it suffices to consider the case when $\psi_k > 0$. By (2.3), we have

$$\beta_k(\vec{x}) = \sigma_k(\vec{x}) - \sigma_{k(+)}(\vec{x}) \geq 1 \quad (i \in I^{re})$$

and

$$x_k + \sum_{k < j < k(+)} \langle h_i, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j - x_{k(+)} \geq 1 \quad (i \in I^{im}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\tilde{e}_i \vec{x}) &= \psi(\vec{x}) - \psi_k \\ (3.7) \quad &\geq \begin{cases} \psi(\vec{x}) - \psi_k \beta_k(\vec{x}) & \text{if } i \in I^{re}, \\ \psi(\vec{x}) - \psi_k (x_k + \sum_{k < j < k(+)} \langle h_i, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j - x_{k(+)}) & \text{if } i \in I^{im}, \end{cases} \\ &= (S_k \psi)(\vec{x}) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that $\tilde{e}_i \vec{x}$ does not satisfy the condition (ii). First, suppose that $\tilde{e}_i \vec{x}$ does not satisfy (3.3). If $i_k = i \in I^{im}$ and $t^{(-)} < k < t$, then by the definition of Kashiwara operator $\tilde{e}_i$, we have $\langle h_i, \alpha_{i_t} \rangle = 0$, and so $\langle h_{i_t}, \alpha_i \rangle = 0$. But, in this case, it is clear that (3.3) holds in $\tilde{e}_i \vec{x}$. Second, suppose that k is the unique index such that $t^{(-)} < k < t$ with $i_k \in I^{re}$ and $\langle h_{i_t}, \alpha_{i_k} \rangle x_k < 0$ in $\vec{x}$. In this case, $S_k x_k(\vec{x}) = x_k - \beta_k > 0$ by (3.4), and by the definition of Kashiwara operator $\tilde{e}_i$, we have $\beta_k > 0$. Hence, $x_k > \beta_k > 0$ and so $x_k > 1$. Therefore, $\tilde{e}_i \vec{x}$ satisfies (3.3). So it suffices to consider the case that $\tilde{e}_i \vec{x}$ does not satisfy the condition (3.4). First, suppose that $k = p$ and $x_p = 1$ in $\vec{x}$.

But, since $S_p x_p(\vec{x}) = x_p - \beta_p > 0$, we have $\beta_p \leq 0$. It contradicts the definition of Kashiwara operator $\tilde{e}_i$.

Second, suppose that $k \neq p$. If $k \neq t$, then by the same argument in (3.7), we have $\psi(\tilde{e}_i \vec{x}) > 0$ for all $\psi \in \Theta_t^{p \setminus t}$. So, it suffices to consider the case that $k = t$ and $x_t > 1$. However, in this case,

$$x_t + \sum_{t < j < t(+)} \langle h_i, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j - x_{t(+)} > 1$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\tilde{e}_i \vec{x}) &= \psi(\vec{x}) - \psi_t \\ (3.8) \quad &> \psi(\vec{x}) - \psi_t(x_t + \sum_{t < j < t(+)} \langle h_i, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j - x_{t(+)})) \\ &= (S_t \psi)(\vec{x}) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Γ_t is closed under all $\tilde{e}_i$.

4. APPLICATIONS: RANK 3 CASE AND MONSTER LIE ALGEBRA

In this section, we will give an explicit description of the image of the Kashiwara embedding for the generalized Kac-Moody algebras of rank 3 and Monster Lie algebras.

4.1. Rank 3 case. Assume that $I = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\iota = (\dots, 1, 3, 2, 1)$. Consider the case when $1, 2 \in I^{im}$ and $3 \in I^{re}$. Let A be a Borcherds-Cartan matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -a & -b & -c \\ -d & -e & -f \\ -g & -h & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For each $k \geq 1$, we have

$$S_{3k} x_{3k} = g x_{k+1} + h x_{k+2} - x_{k+3},$$

Moreover, since $I^{im} = \{1, 2\}$, for each k with $i_k = 1, 2$,

$$\sum_{k^{(-)} < j < k} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j = \begin{cases} -b x_{k-2} - c x_{k-1} & \text{if } i_k = 1, \\ -f x_{k-2} - d x_{k-1} & \text{if } i_k = 2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by Corollary 3.3, we have

Corollary 4.1. *Assume that $1, 2 \in I^{im}$ and $3 \in I^{re}$. The image of the crystal embedding $\text{Im}\Psi_\iota$ is given by the subset Γ_ι of $\vec{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $gx_{3k+1} + hx_{3k+2} - x_{3k+3} \geq 0$ for $k \geq 1$,
- (ii) for each $k \geq 1$, if $x_{3k+1} > 0$ (resp. $x_{3k+2} > 0$), then

$$bx_{3k-1} + cx_{3k} > 0 \quad (\text{resp. } fx_{3k} + dx_{3k+1} > 0).$$

Moreover, if $bx_{3k-1} = 0$ (resp. $dx_{3k+1} = 0$),

$$gx_{3k+1} + hx_{3k+2} - x_{3k+3} > 0.$$

4.2. Monster Lie algebras. Let $I = \{-1\} \cup \mathbf{N}$ and let $A = (-(i+j))_{i,j \in I}$ be a Borcherds-Cartan matrix of charge $\underline{m} = (c(i) | i \in I)$. Here, $c(i)$ is the coefficient of the elliptic modular function

$$j(q) - 744 = q^{-1} + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \cdots = \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} c(i)q^i.$$

Then we have the associated generalized Kac-Moody algebra called Monster Lie algebra.

On the other hand, let

$$I = \{-1 = -1_1\} \cup \{i_t | i \in \mathbf{N}, t = 1, \dots, c(i)\} \text{ and } A = (-(i+j))_{p,q \in I},$$

where $p = i_l$ and $q = j_m$ for some $1 \leq l \leq c(i)$ and $1 \leq m \leq c(j)$. Then the associated generalized Kac-Moody algebra is also the Monster Lie algebra. From now on, we adopt the latter exposition of the Monster Lie algebra. Assume that

$$\iota = (\dots, -1, 3_{c(3)}, \dots, 3_1, 2_{c(2)}, \dots, 2_1, 1_{c(1)}, \dots, 1_1, -1, \\ 2_{c(2)}, \dots, 2_1, 1_{c(1)}, \dots, 1_1, -1, 1_{c(1)}, \dots, 1_1, -1).$$

Let $I_{(-1)}$ be the set of positive integers t such that $i_t = -1$, i.e.,

$$I_{(-1)} = \{1\} \cup \{b(n) = nc(1) + (n-1)c(2) + \cdots + c(n) + n + 1 | n \in \mathbf{N}\},$$

and for any $n \geq 1$, we set

$$\sigma(n) = c(1) + \cdots + c(n).$$

Theorem 4.2. *The image of the Kashiwara embedding $\text{Im}\Psi_\iota$ is given by the subset Γ_ι of $\vec{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0, \iota}^\infty$ such that*

(i) $x_{c(1)+2} = 0$, and for each $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k(x_{b(n)+\sigma(k)+1} + \cdots + x_{b(n)+\sigma(k+1)}) - x_{b(n)+\sigma(n+1)+1} \geq 0,$$

(ii) for each $k \notin I_{(-1)}$, if $x_k > 0$ and $k^{(-)} \neq 0$, then

$$\sum_{k^{(-)} < j < k} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j < 0.$$

Moreover, if $\langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j = 0$ for all $k^{(-)} < j < k$ with $j \notin I_{-1}$, then there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $k^{(-)} < b(m) < k$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^m k(x_{b(m)+\sigma(k)+1} + \cdots + x_{b(m)+\sigma(k+1)}) - x_{b(m)+\sigma(m+1)+1} > 0,$$

Proof. By simple calculation, we have

$$S_1 x_1 = x_1 - (x_1 + \langle h_{-1}, \alpha_1 \rangle (x_2 + \cdots + x_{c(1)+1}) + x_{c(1)+2}) = -x_{c(1)+2}$$

and for each $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{b(n)} x_{b(n)} &= x_{b(n)} - (x_{b(n)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \langle h_{-1}, \alpha_k \rangle (x_{b(n)+\sigma(k-1)+1} + \cdots + x_{b(n)+\sigma(k)}) \\ &\quad + x_{b(n)+\sigma(n+1)+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n k(x_{b(n)+\sigma(k)+1} + \cdots + x_{b(n)+\sigma(k+1)}) - x_{b(n)+\sigma(n+1)+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it is also easy to see that $S_j S_k x_k$ for all j is a linear combination of x_j 's with nonnegative coefficients. Therefore, we have the results. $\square$

Finally, by Theorem 4.2, we have the following character formula of the negative part $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ of the quantum Monster Lie algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Corollary 4.3.

$$\text{ch } U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) = \sum_{\vec{x} \in \Gamma_\iota} e^{\text{wt}(\vec{x})} = \sum_{\vec{x} \in \Gamma_\iota} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \alpha_{i_j}}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. E. Borcherds, *Generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, J. Algebra **115** (1988), 501–512.
- [2] R. E. Borcherds, *Monstrous moonshine and monstrous Lie superalgebras*, Invent. Math. **109** (1992), 405–444.
- [3] J. H. Conway, S. Norton, *Monstrous moonshine*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **11** (1979), 308–339.
- [4] V. G. Drinfel'd, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Soviet Math. Dokl., **32** (1985), 254–258.
- [5] K. Jeong, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, *Crystal bases for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **90** (2005), 395–438.
- [6] K. Jeong, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, D.-U. Shin, *Abstract crystals for quantum generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, preprint (2006), submitted
- [7] M. Jimbo, *A q -difference analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **10** (1985), 63–69.
- [8] S.-J. Kang, *Quantum deformations of generalized Kac-Moody algebras and their modules*, J. Algebra **175** (1995), 1041–1066.
- [9] S.-J. Kang, *Crystal bases for quantum affine algebras and combinatorics of Young walls*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **86** (2003), 29–69.
- [10] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, K. C. Misra, *Crystal bases of Verma modules for the quantum affine Lie algebras*, Composito Math. **92** (1994), 299–325.
- [11] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, K. C. Misra, T. Miwa, T. Nakashima, A. Nakayashiki, *Affine crystals and vertex models*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A. **Suppl. 1A** (1992), 449–484.
- [12] S.-J. Kang, J.-A. Kim, D.-U. Shin, *Monomial realization of crystal bases for special linear Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **274** (2004), 629–642.
- [13] M. Kashiwara, *Crystalizing the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **133** (1990), 249–260.
- [14] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465–516.
- [15] M. Kashiwara, *The crystal base and Littlemann's refined Demazure character formula*, Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), 839–858.
- [16] M. Kashiwara, T. Nakashima, *Crystal graphs for representations of the q -analogue of classical Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **165** (1994), 295–345.
- [17] P. Littelmann, *Paths and root operators in representation theory*, Ann. of Math. **142** (1995), 499–525.
- [18] T. Nakashima, *Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for integrable highest weight modules*, J. Algebra **219** (1999), 571–597
- [19] T. Nakashima, A. Zelevinsky, *Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases for quantized Kac-Moody algebras*, Adv. Math. **131** (1997), 253–278

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHONNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, KWANGJU 500-757, KOREA

E-mail address: dushin@chonnam.ac.kr